

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

USDC SDNY
DOCUMENT
ELECTRONICALLY FILED

DOC #:
DATE FILED: 12/12/24

GERARD SILLAM et al.,
Plaintiff(s)

v.

LABATON SUCHAROW LLP et al.,
Defendant(s)

**NOTICE OF VOLUNTARY
DISMISSAL PURSUANT TO
F.R.C.P. 41(a)(1)(A)(i)**

Case No.: 1:21-cv-06675-CM-OTW

NOTICE OF VOLUNTARY DISMISSAL PURSUANT TO F.R.C.P. 41(a)(1)(A)(i)

Pursuant to F.R.C.P. 41(a)(1)(A)(i) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the plaintiff Gerard Sillam and his counsel, hereby give notice that the above captioned action is voluntarily dismissed, with prejudice against the defendants Labaton Sucharow LLP, Christopher J. Keller, and Lawrence A. Sucharow.

Date: December 11, 2024

/s/ Yen-Yi Anderson

Signature of plaintiff Gerard Sillam's counsel

61 Broadway, #2809

Address

New York, NY 10006

City, State & Zip Code

646-452-9982

Telephone Number

12/12/2024

Unfortunately for
Mr. Sillam, his lawyer does
not seem to understand how
Rule 41 works. Because the
defendants have filed an answer
(see Dkt #39), the plaintiff
may not dismiss this action unilaterally pursuant to
Rule 41(a)(1)(A)(i). So this filing is of no force and effect
by Mr. Sillam (or Mr. Saulnier) unless he has the case
dismissed by court order pursuant to
Rule 41(a)(2) - or provide the court with a stipulation of
dismissal pursuant to Rule 41(a)(1)(A)(ii). The court will
not consider dismissal without providing for
payment of the outstanding
sanction (M